

On (Co)Homology of Triangular Banach Algebras *

Mohammad Sal Moslehian

Dept. of Math., Ferdowsi Univ. P.O.Box 1159, Mashhad 91775

and

Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM)

Iran

E-mail: msalm@math.um.ac.ir

Home: <http://www.um.ac.ir/~moslehian/>

Abstract

Suppose that A and B are unital Banach algebras with units 1_A and 1_B , respectively, M is a unital Banach A – B -bimodule, $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ is the triangular Banach algebra, X is a unital $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule, $X_{AA} = 1_A X 1_A$, $X_{BB} = 1_B X 1_B$, $X_{AB} = 1_A X 1_B$ and $X_{BA} = 1_B X 1_A$. Applying two nice long exact sequences related to A , B , $\mathcal{T}$, X , X_{AA} , X_{BB} , X_{AB} and X_{BA} we establish some results on (co)homology of triangular Banach algebras.

*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46H25; Secondary 46M18, 16E40.

Key words and phrases. Triangular Banach algebra, cohomology groups, homology groups, projective module, Ext functor, Tor functor.

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 82460027).

1 Introduction

Topological homology arose from the problems concerning extensions by H. Kamowitz who introduced the Banach version of Hochschild cohomology groups in 1962 [11], derivations by R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose [9, 10] and amenability by B.E. Johnson [8] and has been extensively developed by A. Ya. Helemskii and his school. In addition, this area includes a lot of problems concerning automorphisms, fixed point theorems, perturbations, invariant means, topology of spectrum, ... [6].

This article deals with the cohomology and homology of triangular Banach algebras, i.e. algebras of the form $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ in which A and B are unital Banach algebras and M is a unital Banach $A - B$ -bimodule. These algebras introduced by Forrest and Marcoux [1], motivated by work of Gilfeather and Smith in [4]. Forrest and Marcoux also studied and directly computed some cohomology groups of triangular Banach algebras (see [2] and [3]).

In this paper, after preliminaries as a foundation for our work, we present two long exact sequences and apply them to give some significant isomorphisms and vanishing theorems.

2 Preliminaries

We begin with some observations concerning cohomology and homology of Banach algebras. Some sources of references to the subject are [6] and [7].

Let **Lin** denote the category of linear spaces and linear operators. A sequence $\cdots \longleftarrow X_n \xleftarrow{d_n} X_{n+1} \longleftarrow \cdots$, $\mathcal{X} = \{X, d\}$ (resp. $\cdots \longrightarrow X^n \xrightarrow{\delta^n} X^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$, $\mathcal{X} = \{X, \delta\}$) in a subcategory of **Lin** is said to be a (chain) complex (resp. (cochain) complex) if $d_{n-1} \circ d_n = 0$ (resp. $\delta^n \circ \delta^{n-1} = 0$).

Suppose that A is a Banach algebra and X is a Banach A -bimodule.

For $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, let $C^n(A, X)$ be the Banach space of all bounded n -linear mappings from $A \times \dots \times A$ into X together with multilinear operator norm $\|f\| = \sup\{\|f(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|; a_i \in A, \|a_i\| \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, and $C^0(A, X) = X$. The elements of $C^n(A, X)$ are called n -dimensional cochains. Consider the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C^0(A, X) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1(A, X) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta^{n-1}} \tilde{C}^n(A, X), \text{ where } \delta^0 x(a) = ax - xa$$

and for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\delta^n f(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = a_1 f(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$
 $+ \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k f(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$
 $a_{n+1} \quad (x \in X, a, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in A, f \in C^n(A, X)).$

It is straightforward to verify that the above sequence is a complex. $\tilde{C}(A, X)$ is called the standard cohomology complex or Hochschild-Kamowitz complex for A and X . The n -th cohomology group of $\tilde{C}(A, X)$ is said to be n -dimensional (ordinary or Hochschild) cohomology group of A with coefficients in X and denoted by $H^n(A, X)$. The spaces $\text{Ker} \delta^n$ and $\text{Im} \delta^{n-1}$ are denoted by $Z^n(A, X)$ and $B^n(A, X)$, and their elements are called n -dimensional cocycles and n -dimensional coboundaries, respectively. Hence $H^n(A, X) = Z^n(A, X)/B^n(A, X)$. Note that $H^n(A, X)$, generally speaking, is a complete seminormed space.

Assume that $C_0(A, X) = X$ and for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $C_n(A, X) = \underbrace{A \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} A}_n \hat{\otimes} X$ in which $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the projective tensor product of Banach spaces. The elements of $C_n(A, X)$ are called n -dimensional chains. Consider the complex $0 \longleftarrow C_0(A, X) \xleftarrow{d_0} C_1(A, X) \xleftarrow{d_1} \dots \xleftarrow{d_{n-1}} \hat{C}(A, X)$; where $d_n(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes x) = a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes a_1 x + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k a_{k+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes x + (-1)^{n+1} a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes x a_{n+1}$.

The n -th homology group of $\hat{C}(A, X)$ is called (ordinary) homology group of A with coefficients in X . It is denoted by $H_n(A, X)$ which is a complete seminormed space.

The dual X^* of the Banach A -bimodule X is again a Banach A -bimodule

with respect to the following actions:

$$(af)(x) = f(xa) \quad , \quad (fa)(x) = f(ax) \quad ; \quad f \in X^*, a \in A, x \in X$$

In particular, A^* is a Banach bimodule over A .

A complex $\mathcal{X} = \{X, d\}$ in a category of Banach modules is called admissible if it splits as a complex of Banach spaces and continuous linear operators, i.e. the kernels of all its morphisms are topologically complemented.

An additive functor F is said to be exact if for every admissible complex $\mathcal{X} = \{X, d\}$ the complex $\underline{F}(\mathcal{X}) = \{F(X), F(d)\}$ is exact in the category **Lin**. Notice that $\underline{F}$ is a functor.

A unital left Banach module P over a unital Banach algebra A is said to be projective if the functor ${}_A h(P, ?)$ is exact. Recall that for left Banach A -modules X and Y , ${}_A h(?, ?)$ takes left A -modules X and Y to ${}_A h(X, Y) = \{f : X \rightarrow Y; f \text{ is a bounded left } A\text{-module map}\}$. Indeed ${}_A h(?, ?)$ is a bifunctor contravariant in the first variable and covariant in the second.

A left Banach A -module (resp. right Banach A -module, Banach $A - B$ -bimodule) X is called projective if X as a left Banach unital A_+ -module (resp. left Banach unital A_+^{op} -module, left Banach unital $A_+ \hat{\otimes} B_+^{op}$ -module) is projective, where $A_+ = A \oplus \mathbf{C}$ denotes the unitization of the Banach algebra A . A^{op} , the so-called opposite to A , is the space A equipped with the multiplication $a \circ b = ba$.

A complex $0 \leftarrow X_0 \xleftarrow{d_0} X_1 \leftarrow \dots$, $(\mathcal{X})$ is called a resolution of the A -module X if the complex $0 \leftarrow X \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} X_0 \xleftarrow{d_0} X_1 \leftarrow \dots$ is admissible. By a projective resolution we mean one in which the X_i 's are projective.

Every left A -module X admits sufficiently many projective resolutions, especially it admits the normalized bar-resolution $\mathcal{B}(X)$ as follows:

Consider free modules $B_n(X) = A_+ \hat{\otimes} (\underbrace{A \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} A}_n \hat{\otimes} X)$ and $B_0(X) = A_+ \hat{\otimes} X$, and operators $\pi : A_+ \hat{\otimes} X \rightarrow X$ and $d_n : B_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow B_n(X)$, well-

defined by $\pi(a \otimes x) = ax$, $d_n(a \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes x) = aa_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes x + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k a \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k a_{k+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes x + (-1)^{n+1} a \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes a_{n+1} x$. Then the sequence $0 \leftarrow X \xleftarrow{\pi} B_0(X) \xleftarrow{d_0} B_1(X) \xleftarrow{d_1} \dots$ is a projective resolution of X .

We denote the complex $0 \leftarrow B_0(X) \xleftarrow{d_0} B_1(X) \xleftarrow{d_1} \dots$ by $\mathcal{B}(X)$. In fact $\mathcal{B}$ induces a functor.

Let F be an additive functor. Then the functor $F_n = H_n \circ \underline{F} \circ \mathcal{B}$ is called the n -th projective derived functor of F . F_n is independent of the choice of resolution. The projective derived cofunctors could be defined in a similar way.

For a left Banach A -module Y , let $Ext_A^n(?, Y)$ denote the n -th projective derived cofunctor of ${}_A h(?, Y)$. Given a right Banach A -module X , denote the n -th projective derived functor of $X \hat{\otimes}_A ?$ by $Tor_n^A(X, ?)$. Recall that for a right Banach A -module X and a left Banach A -module Y the projective tensor product of modules X and Y is defined to be the quotient space $X \hat{\otimes}_A Y = (X \otimes Y)/L$ where L denotes the closed linear span of all elements of the form $xa \otimes y - x \otimes ay$ ($x \in X, a \in A, y \in Y$). In fact $?\hat{\otimes}_A ?$ is a bifunctor covariant in both variables.

3 Main results

Suppose that A and B are unital Banach algebras with units 1_A and 1_B , and Banach space M is a unital Banach $A-B$ -bimodule. Then $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} =$

$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} ; a \in A, m \in M, b \in B \right\}$ with the usual 2×2 matrix addition and

formal multiplication equipped with the norm $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \|a\| + \|m\| + \|b\|$ is a Banach algebra which is called a triangular Banach algebra.[1]

Let X is a unital Banach $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule, $X_{AA} = 1_A X 1_A$, $X_{BB} = 1_B X 1_B$, $X_{AB} = 1_A X 1_B$ and $X_{BA} = 1_B X 1_A$.

Applying homological techniques we could establish the following long exact sequences (see [5]):

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\xrightarrow{\pi^{-1}} H^0(\mathcal{T}, X) \xrightarrow{\phi^0} H^0(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H^0(B, X_{BB}) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} Ext_{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}^0(M, X_{AB}) \\
&\xrightarrow{\pi^0} H^1(\mathcal{T}, X) \xrightarrow{\phi^1} H^1(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H^1(B, X_{BB}) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} Ext_{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}^1(M, X_{AB}) \\
&\xrightarrow{\pi^1} H^2(\mathcal{T}, X) \xrightarrow{\phi^2} H^2(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H^2(B, X_{BB}) \xrightarrow{\delta^2} Ext_{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}^2(M, X_{AB}) \rightarrow \dots \\
&\dots \xrightarrow{\rho_2} Tor_2^{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}(M, X_{BA}) \xrightarrow{d_1} H_2(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H_2(B, X_{BB}) \xrightarrow{\psi_2} H_2(\mathcal{T}, X) \\
&\xrightarrow{\rho_1} Tor_1^{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}(M, X_{BA}) \xrightarrow{d_1} H_1(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H_1(B, X_{BB}) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} H_1(\mathcal{T}, X) \\
&\xrightarrow{\rho_0} Tor_0^{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}(M, X_{BA}) \xrightarrow{d_0} H_0(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H_0(B, X_{BB}) \xrightarrow{\psi_0} H_0(\mathcal{T}, X) \xrightarrow{\rho^{-1}} 0
\end{aligned}$$

Using these nice sequences we shall obtain some significant results:

Theorem 3.1 *Let $X_{AB} = 0$ and $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$. Then $H^n(\mathcal{T}, X) \simeq H^n(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H^n(B, X_{BB})$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. If $X_{AB} = 0$, then $Ext_{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}^{n-1}(M, X_{AB}) = Ext_{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}^n(M, X_{AB}) = 0$.

Hence $0 \xrightarrow{\pi^{n-1}} H^n(\mathcal{T}, X) \xrightarrow{\phi^n} H^n(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H^n(B, X_{BB}) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} 0$. $\square$

Corollary 3.2 $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ is weakly amenable iff so are A and B . [2, Corollary 3.5]

Proof. $X = \mathcal{T}^*$ is a Banach $\mathcal{T}$ -bimodule for which clearly $X_{AA} = A^*$, $X_{BB} = B^*$, $X_{AB} = 0$ and $X_{BA} = M^*$. Then the previous theorem, with $n = 1$, implies that

$$H^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^*) = H^1(A, A^*) \oplus H^1(B, B^*).$$

Hence $\mathcal{T}$ is weakly amenable iff so are A and B . $\square$

Theorem 3.3 Let $X_{BA} = 0$ and $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$. Then $H_n(\mathcal{T}, X) \simeq H_n(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H_n(B, X_{BB})$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. If $X_{BA} = 0$, then $\text{Tor}_{n-1}^{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}(M, X_{AB}) = \text{Tor}_n^{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}(M, X_{AB}) = 0$.

Hence $0 \xrightarrow{d_n} H_n(A, X_{AA}) \oplus H_n(B, X_{BB}) \xrightarrow{\psi_n} H_n(\mathcal{T}, X) \xrightarrow{\rho_{n-1}} 0$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4 $H_n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) \simeq H_n(A, A) \oplus H_n(B, B)$ if $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$.

Proof. For $X = \mathcal{T}$ we have $X_{AA} = A, X_{BB} = B, X_{AB} = M$ and $X_{BA} = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 3.5 If $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$, then $H_n(\mathcal{T}, M) = 0$. In particular, with

$\mathcal{T}_m = \begin{bmatrix} A & \mathcal{T}_{m-1} \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}$, we conclude that $H_n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_m) = 0$.

Proof. Note that $X_{AA} = A, X_{BB} = B, X_{AB} = M$ and $X_{BA} = 0$, if $X = M$. $\square$

Theorem 3.6 Denote by $\tau(D)$ the set of all bounded traces over the Banach algebra D , i.e. $\tau(D) = \{f \in D^*; f(d_1 d_2) = f(d_2 d_1), \text{ for all } d_1, d_2 \in D\}$. Then

$\tau(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \tau(A) \oplus \tau(B)$, if $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$.

Proof. $0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^*) \xrightarrow{\phi^0} H^0(A, A^*) \oplus H^0(B, B^*) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} \text{Ext}_{A \hat{\otimes} B^{op}}^0(M, \mathcal{T}_{AB}^*) = 0$, since $\mathcal{T}_{AB}^* = 0$. Note then that $H^0(D, D^*) = \tau(D)$. $\square$

Remark 3.7 Thanks to Niels Jakob Laustsen for his comment on the fact that there is a direct proof for Theorem 3.6:

The equality $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ implies that

$f\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 0$ for every $f \in \tau(\mathcal{T})$. Then $f_A(a) = f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ and $f_B(b) =$

$f\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right)$ give two bounded traces over A and B respectively. Conversely, if

we have two bounded traces f_1 and f_2 on A and B , resp., then $f\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = f_1(a) + f_2(b)$ defines a bounded trace over $\mathcal{T}$.

Theorem 3.8 *Let A be a unital Banach algebra with $H^n(A, A) = 0$ for some $n > 1$, and M be a left Banach A -module, then $H^n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) \simeq H^n(A, B(M))$ in which $\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix}$. (See [3, Corollary 4.2])*

Proof. Put $X = \mathcal{T}$. By $Ext_A^n(X, Y) \simeq H^n(A, B(X, Y))$ and $H^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) = 0$, the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\xrightarrow{\phi^{n-1}} H^{n-1}(A, A) \oplus H^{n-1}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta^{n-1}} Ext_{A \otimes \mathbf{C}^{op}}^{n-1}(M, M) \\ \xrightarrow{\pi^{n-1}} H^n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) &\xrightarrow{\phi^n} H^n(A, A) \oplus H^n(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} \dots \end{aligned}$$

gives rise to

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(A, M) \rightarrow H^n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

Hence $H^n(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) \simeq H^n(A, B(M))$. $\square$

Example 3.9 Suppose that A is a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H . $M = H$ is a left A -module via $a.\xi = a(\xi)$, $a \in A, \xi \in H$. It

follows from [12, Corollary 3.4.6], $H^n(A, A) = 0$. So $H^n\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & H \\ 0 & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} A & H \\ 0 & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix}\right) =$

$H^{n-1}(A, B(H))$. In particular, $H^2\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & H \\ 0 & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} A & H \\ 0 & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix}\right) = H^1(A, B(H)) =$

0, by [12, Theorem 2.4.3]. (See [3, Example 4.2])

References

- [1] B. E. Forrest and L. W. Marcoux, *Derivations of triangular Banach algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 45(1996), 441-462.
- [2] B. E. Forrest and L. W. Marcoux, *Weak amenability of triangular Banach algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), no. 4, 1435-1452.
- [3] B. E. Forrest and L. W. Marcoux, *Second order cohomology of triangular Banach algebras*, priprint.
- [4] F. Gilfeather and R. R. Smith, *Cohomology for operator algebras: joins*, Amer. J. Math. 116 (1994), 541-561.
- [5] Jorge A. Guccione and Juan J. Guccione, *Hochschild cohomology of triangular matrix algebras*, preprint.
- [6] A. Ya. Helemskii, *The homology of Banach and topological algebras*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [7] A. Ya. Helemskii, *Banach and Locally Convex Algebras*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1993.
- [8] B.E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach Algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1972).
- [9] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Cohomology of operator algebras I*, Acta Math. 126(1971), 227-243.
- [10] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Cohomology of operator algebras II*, Ark. Mat.9(1971), 55-63.
- [11] H. Kamowitz, *Cohomology groups of commutative Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 102(1962), 352-372.

- [12] A. M. Sinclair and R. Smith, *Hochschild Cohomology of von Neumann Algebras*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.